

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,649	09/938,649 08/27/2001		Helen O'Hara	021058/0257402	3549
909	7590	05/23/2003	•		
		THROP, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			MILLER, EDWARD A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3641	
				DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003	<b>;</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>•</b>	Application N .	Applicant(s)
Office Addison C	09/938,649	O'HARA ET AL.
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The Man was a second	Edward A. Miller	2044
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover she t w	rith th correspond nce address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	io ATION.  s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a submitted in the statutory minimum of thir attutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on 23 January 2003	
0-1/-	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract Disposition of Claims	for allowance except for formal ways	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) 60-78 is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	trom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>60-78</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement	
Application Papers	and and crection requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by th	e Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in above	100 Soc 27 CED 4 05(-)
11) The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) approved b) dis	Sapproved by the Evaminer
n approved, corrected drawings are requ	uired in reply to this Office action.	arphitical by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(4) (4) (1)
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in Apr	plication No
3.  Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 LLS C. s.	440(a) (i
a) in the translation of the foreign langu	Jace provisional application has been	
Total of the control	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. &	n received. § 120 and/or 121
	. ,	5 4 unu/or (Z),
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape</li> </ul>	4)  Interview Sur 9-948)	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/938,649

Art Unit: 3641

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 60-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Binet et al. '184, in view of the notoriously well known art.

In Binet et al. '184, note the teachings generally, and particularly in "Table VII", Example 50. Therein, one finds an example of a gassing solution according to the claimed invention. This must clearly be added to the explosive emulsion, as is notoriously well known in the art. To the extent necessary, variation of specific notoriously well known ingredients, such as in the prior art applied in the parent application of the instant application, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Further, variation of specific method details would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. It is well settled that optimizing a result effective variable is well within the expected ability of a person or ordinary skill in the subject art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980), *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

3. The benefit of the parent foreign priority is still denied. Applicants in their reply did not mention at all the requirement of US law regarding that for the benefit to be granted, the invention must be disclosed in accordance with the requirements of 35 USC 112. However, this will not be discussed except to the extent that the date becomes necessary due to an intervening reference. However, applicants are reminded of their continuing duty of disclosure, including regarding any publication of their own invention, that is prior to the filing date of the PCT parent application.



Application/Control Number: 09/938,649 Art Unit: 3641

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning either this or an earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Edward A. Miller at (703) 306-4163. Examiner Miller may normally be reached Monday-Thursday, from 10 AM to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach Examiner Miller by telephone are unsuccessful, his supervisor Mr. Carone can be reached at (703) 306-4198. The Group fax number is (703) 305-7687.

If there is no answer, or for any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the application status, please call the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1113.

Miller/em May 19, 2003

EDWARD A. MILLER PRIMARY EXAMINED